

03-20-00

A

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**UTILITY
PATENT APPLICATION
TRANSMITTAL**

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. 1206

First Inventor or Application Identifier Anderson, Glen

Title Affective Control of Information Systems

Express Mail Label No. EI599710885US

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

1. ☒ * Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)
(Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification [Total Pages 19]
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
- Descriptive title of the Invention
 - Cross References to Related Applications
 - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
 - Reference to Microfiche Appendix
 - Background of the Invention
 - Brief Summary of the Invention
 - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
 - Detailed Description
 - Claim(s)
 - Abstract of the Disclosure
3. ☒ Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 4]
4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 3]
- a. ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
- b. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d))
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)
- i. ☐ **DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)**
Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

*** NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).**ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, DC 20231

5. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary)
- a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
 - b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
 - c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

7. ☒ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
8. ☒ 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement (when there is an assignee) ☒ Power of Attorney
9. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
10. ☒ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 ☒ Copies of IDS Citations
11. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
12. ☐ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)
(Should be specifically itemized)
13. ☐ * Small Entity Statement(s) ☐ Statement filed in prior application, Status still proper and desired (PTO/SB/09-12)
14. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed)
15. ☐ Other:

16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: _____

Prior application information: Examiner _____ Group / Art Unit: _____

For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.**17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS**☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label

(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

or ☒ Correspondence address below

Name	Attn: Anthony Claiborne				
	Gateway, Inc				
Address	610 Gateway Drive				
	Mail Drop Y-04				
City	North Sioux City	State	South Dakota	Zip Code	57049
Country	U.S.A.	Telephone	(605) 232-1967	Fax	(605) 232-2612

Name (Print/Type)	Anthony Claiborne	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	39,636
Signature	<i>Anthony Claiborne</i>	Date	March 17, 2000

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

AFFECTIVE CONTROL OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 Field of the Invention.

This invention relates generally to control of data processing or information systems, and in particular to control of such systems based in part upon the detected apparent affective or emotional state of a user.

10 Description of the Related Art

In the history of human interaction with information systems, system reaction to the user actively engaged with the system generally has been based upon programmatic response to a user's intentional communicative acts, such as keyboard entry, mouse manipulation, voice input and the like. While certain information systems and applications respond to forms of information from a user that are not intentional communicative acts by the user, such as autonomic conditions in medical monitoring systems or biometric parameters for user identification in security systems, these system responses are generally not within the context of active engagement of the user with the system.

Yet for humans actively engaged with each other, an individual's response to another involves considerably more than reacting to the mere intentional communicative acts of the parties. In particular, effective interaction with others requires perceiving and reacting to the apparent affective or emotional states of others as well as to their intentional communicative acts.

An information system capable of determining a user's apparent affective state would be capable of altering its behavior in response to that apparent state, resulting in more effective interaction with the user. For example, in applications such as email, when a user is in communication with other users, a user's rash emotional reaction in communication may result in the user's transmitting an undesirable message. The system in this example, then, having determined that the user's apparent emotional state is such that the user's communication may be a rash response, may prompt the user for confirmation prior to transmission. What is needed for more effective human interaction with information systems is for information systems to

determine and react appropriately to the apparent affective or emotional state of humans with whom they are actively engaged.

In addition to human-computer interaction, humans also use information systems as intermediaries in communication with other humans, as in email and word processing applications. Because effective interaction with other humans requires conveying affective or emotional states, a number of means of conveying such states have been developed by convention for written communications. For example, a correspondent's typing in all capital letters may serve to convey to the recipient the same emotional state that yelling conveys in spoken communications. Additionally or in the alternative, special combinations of common characters may be typed by a correspondent to convey an emotional state to a recipient, such as the now familiar colon-right parenthesis combination :) used to convey happiness or humor. Acronyms typed by a correspondent and understood in common with the recipient can serve the same function, for example "LOL" (laughing out loud) and "ROTF" (rolling on the floor). Depending upon display capabilities of the information systems of correspondent and recipient, the correspondent may convey emotional or affective state to the recipient by typing keystrokes or using function keys to insert special characters (such as a smiley face ☺), to change a font to bold or italic or to underline for emphasis, or to color font in appropriate portions of text. To convey affective or emotional state in any of these and like conventions used in written communications, the correspondent must intentionally direct an input device, such as a keyboard or a mouse, to insert characters or mark text to convey the correspondent's emotional state. What is needed is for the correspondent's information system, without any intentional direction from the correspondent, to determine the apparent affective or emotional state of the correspondent and react by marking appropriate text in such a way that by convention conveys the emotional state of the correspondent to the recipient.


CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

"Express Mail" mailing label number: EI599710885US

Date of Deposit: 03-17-2000

I hereby certify that this paper and/or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR § 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Anthony Claiborne

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Anthony Claiborne", written over a horizontal line.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention shows a way for information systems to determine the apparent emotional or affective state of a user engaged with the system and, further, for information systems, based upon such determination, to react accordingly.

It is an object of the present invention to provide means for information systems to determine the apparent emotional or affective state of a user. It is a further object of the present invention to enable information systems to make intelligent decisions in applications programs based upon a determined apparent emotional or affective state of a user. It is a further object of this invention, having determined the apparent emotional or affective state of a correspondent, to convey that apparent state in communications transmitted by the correspondent to one or more recipients.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other objects, advantages, features and characteristics of the present invention, as well as methods, operation and function of related elements of structure, and the combination of parts and economies of manufacture, will become apparent upon consideration of the following description and claims with reference to the accompanying drawings, all of which form a part of this specification, wherein like reference numerals designate corresponding parts in the various figures, and wherein:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a general purpose information handling system, which may be used to implement the invention.

FIG. 2 is a flow chart of a process for applying a determination of apparent affective state to marking of text in a text composition application.

FIG. 3 is a flow chart of a process for applying a determination of apparent affective state in an interpersonal assistant application that warns a user of possible inadvisability of sending email.

FIG. 4 is an exemplary warning output of the process shown in FIG. 3.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments, reference is made to the accompanying drawings which form a part hereof, and in which is shown by way of illustration specific preferred embodiments in which the invention may be practiced. These embodiments are described in sufficient detail to enable those skilled in the art to practice the invention, and it is to be understood that other embodiments may be utilized and that changes may be made without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention. To avoid detail not necessary to enable those skilled in the art to practice the invention, the description may omit certain information known to those skilled in the art. The following description is, therefore, not to be taken in a limiting sense, and the scope of the invention is defined only by the appended claims.

FIG. 1 shows a block diagram of an information handling system 100 in accordance with the present invention. In this embodiment, processor 102, system controller 112, cache 114, and data-path chip 118 are each coupled to host bus 110. Processor 102 is a microprocessor such as a 486-type chip, a Pentium®, Pentium II®, Pentium III®, or the like suitable microprocessor. Cache 114 provides high-speed local-memory data (in one embodiment, for example, 512 KB of data) for processor 102, and is controlled by system controller 112, which loads cache 114 with data that is expected to be used soon after the data is placed in cache 112 (i.e. in the near future). Main memory 116 is coupled between system controller 112 and data-path chip 118, and in one embodiment, provides random-access memory of between 16 MB and 128 MB of data.

In one embodiment, main memory 116 is provided on SIMMs (Single In-line Memory Modules), while in another embodiment, main memory 116 is provided on DIMMs (Dual In-line Memory Modules), each of which plugs into suitable sockets provided on a motherboard holding these components and many of the other components shown in FIG. 1. Main memory 116 includes standard DRAM (Dynamic Random-Access Memory), EDO (Extended Data Out) DRAM, SDRAM (Synchronous DRAM), or the like suitable memory technology. System controller 112 controls PCI (Peripheral Component Interconnect) bus 120, a local bus for system 100 that provides a high-speed data path between processor 102 and various peripheral devices,

such as video, disk, network, etc. Data-path chip 118 is also controlled by system controller 112 to assist in routing data between main memory 116, host bus 110, and PCI bus 120.

In one embodiment, PCI bus 120 provides a 32-bit-wide data path that runs at 33 MHz. In another embodiment, PCI bus 120 provides a 64-bit-wide data path that runs at 33 MHz. In yet other embodiments, PCI bus 120 provides 32-bit-wide or 64-bit-wide data paths that run at higher speeds. In one embodiment, PCI bus 120 provides connectivity to I/O bridge 122, graphics controller 127, and one or more PCI connectors 121, each of which accepts a standard PCI card (not shown). In one embodiment, I/O bridge 122 and graphics controller 127 are each integrated on the motherboard along with system controller 112, in order to avoid a board-to-connector-to-board signal crossing interface, thereby providing better speed and reliability. In the embodiment shown, graphics controller 127 is coupled to a video memory 128 that includes memory such as DRAM, EDO DRAM, SDRAM, or VRAM (Video Random-Access Memory), and drives VGA (Video Graphics Adapter) port 129. VGA port 129 can connect to VGA-type or SVGA (Super VGA)-type displays or the like. Other input/output (I/O) cards having a PCI interface can be plugged into PCI connectors 121.

In one embodiment, I/O bridge 122 is a chip that provides connection and control to one or more independent IDE connectors 124, to one or more SCSI connectors 125, to one or more USB (Universal Serial Bus) ports 126, and to an ISA (Industry Standard Architecture) bus 130. In this embodiment, IDE connector 124 provides connectivity for up to two or more standard IDE-type devices, in particular those for non-volatile memory storage and/or retrieval such as hard disk drives, CD-ROM (Compact Disk-Read-Only Memory) drives, DVD (Digital Video Disk or Digital Versatile Disk) drives, or TBU (Tape-Backup Unit) devices. As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, client systems in a network, such as web pads, need not be equipped with any such non-volatile memory storage devices, relying instead upon the function of such devices in a server to which the client is connected.

In one similar embodiment, two IDE connectors 124 are provided, each providing an EIDE (Enhanced IDE) compliant architecture. In the embodiment shown, Small Computer System Interface (SCSI) connector 125 provides connectivity for preferably up to seven or fifteen SCSI-type devices depending on the version of SCSI supported by the respective embodiment. In one embodiment, I/O bridge 122 provides ISA bus 130 having one or more ISA

connectors 131 (in one embodiment, three connectors are provided). In one embodiment, ISA bus 130 is coupled to I/O controller 152, which in turn provides connections to two serial ports 154 and 155, parallel port 156, and FDD (Floppy-Disk Drive) connector 157. In one embodiment, FDD connector 157 is connected to FDD 158 that receives removable media (floppy diskette) 159 on which data and/or program code 160 is stored.

In one such embodiment, program code 160 includes code that controls programmable system 100 to perform an application program as described in accordance with the invention. In an embodiment typical for client systems, and characteristic of "thin clients" such as web pads, serial port 154 is connectable to a computer network such as a local network or the Internet, and such network has program code 160 that controls programmable system 100 to act as a client, receiving and interpreting data sent by a matching server computer application. In another such embodiment characteristic of server systems, serial port 154 is connectable to a computer network such as a local network or the Internet, and special program code 160 within programmable system 100 executes that causes programmable system 100 to act as a server, providing data and applications over the network to a matching client computer program that is capable of properly interpreting that data and applications.

In one embodiment, ISA bus 130 is connected to buffer 132, which is connected to X bus 140, which provides connections to real-time clock 142, keyboard/mouse controller 144 and keyboard BIOS ROM (Basic Input/Output System Read-Only Memory) 145, and to system BIOS ROM 146. FIG. 1 shows one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, however other bus structures and memory arrangements are specifically contemplated. It should be appreciated that modification or reconfiguration of information handling system 100 of FIG. 1 by one having ordinary skill in the art would not depart from the scope or the spirit of the present invention.

An information system may determine the apparent affective or emotional state of a user based upon a variety of sources of information, which may be used singly or in combination for the information system to make its determination. *See generally* Picard, Rosalind W. "Teaching Computers to Recognize and Express Emotion" in: Stork, David G. (ed.), *Hal's Legacy: 2001's Computer as Dream and Reality* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1997), Chapter 13, Section 4.

In applications where the user is inputting textual content, such as word processing or email composition, the actual content of the input may give a good indication of the user's affective state. For example, the incidence of vulgar language or derogatory epithets in text may indicate anger or frustration, with frequency of such words providing an indication of the intensity of the user's emotional state. By monitoring the incidence and frequency of words indicating a user's emotional state, an information system may make a determination of the user's apparent affective state.

As previously discussed in reference to the prior art, the user may mark textual input in such a way as to indicate the user's emotional or affective state with respect to the text or context in question. Such marking may be by way of highlighting the text in some way, such as alteration of font appearance by color, underlining, bold, italic, capitals, etc. In the alternative, such marking may be by way of special character combinations or special characters inserted in appropriate textual locations. In any case, the correspondent conveys his emotional state to the recipient by such marking on the assumption that the recipient shares the convention that associates that marking with the affective state of the correspondent. An information system may determine a correspondent's apparent emotional state by monitoring text input for markings that, by convention, convey emotion.

The facts surrounding the user's composition and transmission of text in such applications may be indicative of the user's affective state. For example, if the period of time between a user's first access of an email message and the user's reply to that message is very short, it is possible that the user has reacted emotionally to the received message and has responded rashly based upon that emotional response. Similarly, a rash response may be indicated if the length of time used to compose the message is very short but the recipient list of the message is very long or the recipients include key individuals such as the head of the sender's organization. An information system may, in such circumstances, make a determination of the user's apparent emotional state in the composition of email by monitoring the time to reply, the time to compose, and the distribution list of the email.

A user's input characteristics may also be indicative of the user's emotional state. For applications using standard manual input devices, pronounced force of keystrokes on a keyboard or mouse clicks on a mouse may indicate anger or frustration. Input devices such as keyboards

and computer mice that are capable of detecting and reporting the degree of force in user input are well known in the art (see, for example, U.S. Patent 5,579,238 to Krugman, U.S. Patent 5,982,357 to Burgett and U.S. Patent 5,995,026 to Sellers). By employing such input devices, an information system may determine apparent user affective state by monitoring the force exercised by the user in input operations.

A user's input characteristics may also be determined in applications using speech input by analysis of the user's speech. As set forth in the *Hal's Legacy* reference, vocal intonation is a common way to communicate strong feelings. Several features of speech are modulated by emotion; we divide them into such categories as voice quality (e.g., breathy or resonant, depending on individual vocal tract and breathing), timing of utterance (e.g., speaking faster for fear, slower for disgust), and utterance pitch contour (e.g., showing greater frequency range and abruptness for anger, a smaller range and downward contours for sadness). Analysis of these characteristics in a user's speech by an information system provides the information system with an indication of the user's emotional state.

Autonomic responses are also indicative of a user's emotional state. While some autonomic responses may be difficult to monitor unobtrusively, galvanic skin response of a user's hands or fingers may be monitored by input devices such as keyboards or computer mice. Electrodes on such input devices at appropriate points of contact with the user's hands may be used by an operatively connected information system to monitor the user's skin conductivity. As is well known in the art (see, for example, Kasa et al., U.S. Patent 4,625,732), increased skin conductivity is associated with a user's affective state. Monitoring the user's skin conductivity thereby provides the information system with an indication of the user's apparent emotional state.

Other autonomic responses that may be measured fairly unobtrusively are the dilation of the user's pupils and the rate at which the user blinks his eyes. It is well known in the art that pupil dilation and eye blink rate, just as skin conductivity, are associated with a person's affective state (see, for example, U.S. Patent 4,931,865 to Scarampi). By means of an operatively coupled video camera, an information system may monitor a user's pupil dilation and/or blink rate and thereby make a determination of a user's apparent emotional state.

Face recognition software technology may also be employed by this invention. Typically analyzing a computer graphic of a face, such software, used in conjunction with a video camera operatively coupled with the information system, can determine the identity of the user and the user's apparent emotional state. At present, several algorithmic approaches to face recognition provide sufficiently accurate performance in face recognition for application in this invention. Some approaches are based upon eigenvectors generated from the autocorrelation matrix of a computer image of a face. These eigenvectors, termed "eigenfaces" by persons skilled in the art, may be analyzed by vector transform followed by discriminative modeling to yield reliable face recognition determinations. In such approaches, some algorithms employ a linear discriminant, while others, such as that used in the Viisage Gallery application of Viisage Technology, Inc. of Littleton, Massachusetts, employ a quadratic discriminant. In the alternative, within these approaches using eigenfaces, some algorithms, such as those used in FaceIt® software by Visionics Corp. of Jersey City, New Jersey, may employ a sparse transform of the eigenfaces, followed by a discriminative neural network analysis.

Illustrating yet another approach, TrueFace software from Miros, Inc. of Wellesley, Massachusetts, relies upon a method that extracts and analyzes local features from a variety of faces. Local features of a face are extracted from an eigenface by a determination of which features of a face differ most from features of other faces in a database. By applying neural network technology to the analysis of extracted local features in a database derived from computer images, this software improves its face recognition success rate with experience.

In any case, the present state of the art allows those of ordinary skill in the art, without undue experimentation or testing, to use face recognition software to analyze a user's facial expression and thereby determine the apparent emotional or affective state of the user.

Turning now to the remaining drawings, FIG. 2 is a flow chart of a procedure for applying a determination of apparent affective state to marking of text in a keyboard text input and composition application. This procedure, which processes text input prior to formatted output, commences at 201. In 202, the user's previously determined affective state is initially set by default to "neutral". A loop commencing at 203 scans the keyboard for input. Upon obtaining keyboard input 204, the system proceeds to determine the user's apparent affective state 205, by way of means well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, as set forth by

example above. Using, for example, the message content, input device force detection, autonomic indicators or facial expression, or any combination of methods well known to those of ordinary skill in the art to determine the user's apparent affective state at 205, the system compares the newly determined affective state to the previously determined affective state at 206. If these states are the same, the system proceeds to output text at 210 without a change in formatting.

Considering the initial condition of this aspect of the invention, the initial setting of text formatting by default corresponds with communicating a "neutral" affective state in this embodiment. Since the initial setting of the user's previously determined affective state is "neutral" at 202, if there is no difference between this initial state and the current affective state newly determined at 205, step 207 will cause the initial output of text that is appropriately marked "neutral" by default at 210. If, on the other hand, the current affective state differs from the initial setting of the user's previously determined affective state ("neutral" in this embodiment) step 207 will direct step 208 to change text formatting appropriately and the initial output of text will be appropriately marked at 210.

As set forth by example above, text formatting indicating emotional state may be indicated 208 by way of highlighting the text in some way, such as alteration of font appearance by color, underlining, bold, italic, capitals, etc. In the alternative, such marking may be by way of special character combinations or special characters inserted in appropriate textual locations. In any case, the information system will change text formatting at 208 in a manner well known in the art, marking the text in such a way as to be likely to convey the user's affective state to the intended recipient of the composition.

Subsequent to the initial condition, if the current affective state determined at 205 differs from the previous affective state (which is to say the user's apparent emotional state has changed), the system changes text formatting appropriately at 208 as described above. At 209 the variable indicating the user's present affective state is reset to indicate the current state as determined in step 205. Appropriately formatted text is output at 210. After this procedure has output text, it continues to scan the keyboard for input, monitoring the user's apparent affective state and modifying text markings as necessary and appropriate.

Referring next to FIG. 3, a flow chart is shown of a procedure for applying a determination of apparent affective state to prompting whether to transmit a user's electronic mail composition. This procedure, which is an application operating between the composition and the transmission of email, commences upon the user's activation 301 of a "send" function for email from the user directed to one or more recipients. The information system determines the user's apparent affective state at 302 by using, for example, the message content, input device force detection, autonomic indicators, facial expression, or any combination of such methods. In the alternative, or additionally, the information system may make its determination of the user's apparent affective state at 302 by facts surrounding the user's composition and transmission of text. As set forth above, such facts may include the period of time between a user's first access of an email message and the user's reply to that message, or the length of time used to compose the message related to the intended recipients of the message. In any case, the information system, by methods well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, makes a determination of the apparent affective state of the user at 302. If the apparent affective state of the user is such that the user would be well-advised to exercise particular prudence in transmission of email 303, the system will warn the user and prompt for confirmation at 304. FIG. 4 is illustrative of a typical warning and prompt, showing the circumstances leading the information system to determine that the user's affective state might be questionable. If and only if the user confirms the prompt 305, the email is transmitted at 306 and the program exits 307.

Although the invention has been described with a certain degree of particularity, it should be recognized that elements thereof may be altered by persons skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the specific forms set forth herein, but on the contrary, it is intended to cover such alternatives, modifications and equivalents as can be reasonably included within the scope of the invention. The invention is limited only by the following claims and their equivalents.

WE CLAIM:

1. An information system under affective control, comprising:
 - an application program with which a user is actively engaged;
 - means for determining the apparent affective state of the user; and
 - means for changing the operation of the application program responsive to the apparent affective state of the user.
2. An information system under affective control as in claim 1, wherein said means for determining the apparent affective state of the user comprises a means responsive to at least one of user autonomic indicators and the facial expression of the user.
3. An information system under affective control as in claim 1, wherein said application program comprises means of user input.
4. An information system under affective control as in claim 3, wherein said means for determining the apparent affective state of the user comprises a means responsive to at least one of user input characteristics and input content.
5. An information system under affective control as in claim 3, wherein said user input is text.
6. An information system under affective control as in claim 5, wherein said means for changing the operation of the application program comprises means for marking text if the apparent emotional state of the writer indicates that the text should be marked.

1 7. An information system under affective control as in claim 1, wherein
2 said application program is a program for transmission to others of text composed
3 by the user.

1 8. An information system under affective control as in claim 7, wherein
2 said means for changing the operation of the application program
3 comprises means for prompting the user to confirm the desired transmission of text if the
4 apparent emotional state of the writer is questionable, means for receiving the user's
5 response, and means for transmitting only on receipt of affirmative response.

1 9. A method of processing text indicating the emotional state of the writer at the time of
2 writing, comprising the steps of:
3 (a) accepting text input from the writer;
4 (b) determining the apparent emotional state of the writer;
5 (c) marking text if the apparent emotional state of the writer indicates that the text
6 should be marked; and
7 (d) outputting marked text, thereby indicating the apparent emotional state of the
8 writer.

1 10. The method of processing text as set forth in claim 9, wherein said step of
2 determining the apparent emotional state of the writer is performed by monitoring at least
3 one of the writer's text input characteristics, text content, writer autonomic indicators and
4 the facial expression of the writer.

1 11. The method of processing text as set forth in claim 9, wherein said step of
2 accepting text input from the writer comprises receiving text manually input by the writer
3 into a text input device and said step of determining the apparent emotional state of the
4 writer is performed at least in part by determining the force used by the writer in
5 manually inputting text into the input device.

1 12. The method of processing text as set forth in claim 9, wherein said step of
2 accepting text input from the writer comprises speech input by the writer and said step of
3 determining the apparent emotional state of the writer is performed at least in part by
4 analyzing the characteristics of the writer's speech input.

1 13. A method of conditional transmission of a message to at least one recipient based
2 upon the apparent emotional state of the writer, comprising the steps of

- 3 (a) receiving an instruction to transmit a message from a writer;
4 (b) determining the apparent emotional state of the writer;
5 (c) if the apparent emotional state of the writer is questionable, warning the writer and
6 prompting the writer to confirm that the message should be sent;
7 (d) allowing transmission of the message only upon confirmation by the writer that
8 the first message should be sent.

1 14. The method of conditional transmission of a message according to claim 13,
2 wherein said step of determining the apparent emotional state of the writer comprises
3 analyzing at least one of the intended recipients of the message, message content, writer
4 autonomic indicators and the facial expression of the writer.

1 15. A method of receiving and conditionally responding to a received message based
2 upon the apparent emotional state of the respondent, comprising the steps of:

3 (a) receiving a message;

4 (b) presenting the received message to a respondent;

5 (c) receiving a proposed response to the received message from the respondent along
6 with a request to transmit the proposed response to proposed recipients;

7 (d) determining the emotional state of the respondent;

8 (e) if the apparent emotional state of the respondent is questionable, warning the
9 respondent and prompting the respondent to confirm that the proposed response
10 should be sent to the proposed recipients; and

11 (f) allowing transmission of the response to the recipients only upon confirmation by
12 the respondent that the response should be sent to the recipients.

13 16. The method of receiving and conditionally responding to a received message
14 according to claim 15, wherein said step of determining the apparent emotional state of
15 the writer comprises analyzing the time elapsed between the step of presenting the
16 received message to the respondent and the step of receiving a proposed response to the
17 received message.

1 17. A method of conditional transmission of a message to at least one recipient based
2 upon the apparent emotional state of the writer, comprising the steps of

3 (a) receiving a proposed message from a writer along with a request to transmit the
4 proposed message to proposed recipients;

5 (b) determining the emotional state of the writer;

6 (c) if the apparent emotional state of the writer is questionable, warning the writer and
7 prompting the writer to confirm that the proposed message should be sent to the
8 proposed recipients; and

9 (d) allowing transmission of the message to the recipients only upon confirmation by
10 the writer that the message should be sent to the recipients.

11 18. The method of conditional transmission of a message according to claim 17,
12 wherein said step of receiving a proposed message comprises monitoring the input
13 characteristics of the writer and said step of determining the emotional state of the writer
14 comprises analyzing the input characteristics of the writer.

ABSTRACT

10

An information system that determines the apparent emotional state of the user and, based upon that determination, reacts appropriately. Appropriate reactions of the system include marking user text input to convey the apparent emotional state of the user to recipients. Other embodiments incorporate reactions of the system that warn or prompt a user, prior to system execution of a user directed operation, when the system has determined that the apparent emotional state of the user merits a warning or a prompt.




FIG. 1

Fig. 2







Fig. 3





Hey Mike, did you see the third story? What a moron!



From: O'Brien, Maureen
Sent: [unreadable]
To: [unreadable]

Aldeen McCracken; Ajay Gupta; Ajay Nanda; Aleksandar Reljic; Alexandra Loh; Alfred Yue; Alison James; Alyce Schroeder; Amy Stoh; And

Peters; Diep Doan; Don Billingsley; Donald McClellan; Donna Schumacher; Dorothy Leong; Ed McPherson; Elke Abendan; Emily We
foster@sigmaresearch.com; Frank Liebenow; Fritz Frazier; Gary Duffy; Gene McNaughton; Geoffrey Balzano; Geraldine Hunt; Ge
Winton; Greg Lund; Greg Miner; Greg Nelson; greg_fujimoto@mccann.com; Gui Kahl; hal_walters@mccann.com; harryr@akr.com;
Heather McCann; Helen Axelson; Jacquelyn Trask; Jamaluddin Sheikh; James Reindl; James Rotta; James Tippetts; Jamie Lucas; J
Miller; Janet Oppenheimer; Janet Schroeder; Jason Glover; Jason Jauron; Jason Kvidera; Javade Chaudhri; Jay Lambke; Jeanie F
Jeffrey Weltzen; Jennie Starr; Jennifer Arndorfer; Jennifer Twillman; Jenny Young; Jerry Leone; Jerry Pott; Jessi Vetos; Jim Bool
Jim Madsen; Jim VonHolle; Jim Wharton; jimw@sigmaresearch.com; Jocelyn Harris; Joe Burke; Joe Lee; Joe Rueckert; joef@allian
Hemmingstad; John Heubusch; John Love; John Pask; John Pizutelli; John Renfro; John Richards; John Schindler; John Shephear
Lowy; Jonathan Sultan; Josephine Ng; Josh Coughlin; jpw@akr.com; Juan Gohu; Judy Boust; Juergen Klug; Julie Laufenberg; Juli
Karina Crosbie; Kathleen Lassau; Kathy Jeno; Kathy McNeill; Kathy Skidmore; Keith Bradach; Keith Daniel; Keith Karlson; Keith Ko
kelly_eskridge@mccann.com; Ken Biggerstaff; Ken Loyd; Ken Stephens; Ken Stickevers; Kendall Lang; Kent Hollenbeck; Kent McC
Meylor; Kevin Tackaberry; kevinr@akr.com; Kim Martin; kimf@akr.com; kimi@allianceresearch.com; Kimiko Suzuki; Kirstin Graczyk; I
Kuniharu Takenaka; Kurt Clement; Kyle Snedaker; Laine Simpson; lald@akr.com; Larry Chingren; Larry Massey; Laurel Gerber; Le
lil_monowitz@mccann.com; Lindsay Axtman; Lior Yahalomi; Lisa Ketcham; Lisa Osborn; Liz Doyle; Lori Anderson; Lori Erickson; Lo
Flikkema; Luc Lambert; Lyle Schuknecht; Lynette Coons; Marc Demars; Maria Slaughter; Marilyn Miller; Mark Bailey; Mark McEvoy
Johnson; Marty Walsh; Mary Ann O'Brien; Mary Ashe; Mary Hankle; Mary Land; Matt Dean; Matt Hoelscher; Matt Jennings; Matt

FIG. 4

As a below named inventor of the invention claimed in the attached application for United States Letters Patent, I hereby declare that:

INVENTORSHIP IDENTIFICATION

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated at the end of this declaration next to my name; I believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the invention which is claimed in the attached application entitled:

AFFECTIVE CONTROL OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS

INVENTORSHIP CLAIM

It is the best of my knowledge and belief that this invention was not ever known or used in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof; that it was not patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that this invention was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, that this invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months prior to this application, and that no application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention has been filed in any country foreign to the United States of America prior to this application by me or my legal representatives or assigns, except as follows:

None.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW OF PAPERS AND DUTY OF CANDOR

I have reviewed and understand the contents of the attached application, and acknowledge my duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a);

PRIORITY CLAIM

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America, filed by me on the same subject matter as set forth in the attached application, namely:

None.

I hereby claim domestic priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of the United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT filing date of this application:

None.

POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business with the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Anthony B. Claiborne Registration No. 39,636

Mark S. Walker Registration No. 30,699

Joseph Lee Registration No. 37,664

of GATEWAY, INC., 610 Gateway Drive, Mail Drop Y-04, North Sioux City, SD 57049 (tel. 605-232-1967);

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

SIGNATURES

Inventor's signature: Glen Anderson

Full name of first or joint inventor: Glen John Anderson

Date: 3-16-2000 Country of Citizenship: U.S.A.

Residence: Sioux City, IA, USA

Post Office address: 3034 Pierce Street
Sioux City, IA 50104

Inventor's signature: Russell F. McKnight

Full name of second or joint inventor: Russell F. McKnight

Date: 3-16-2000 Country of Citizenship: U.S.A.

Residence: Sioux City, IA, USA

Post Office address: 3014 Nebraska Street
Sioux City, IA 50104

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Glen J. Anderson et al.

Filed: of even date herewith

For: AFFECTIVE CONTROL OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Assignee Gateway, Inc., by the undersigned duly authorized officer, hereby appoints the following attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Anthony B. Claiborne Registration No. 39,636

Mark S. Walker Registration No. 30,699

Joseph Lee Registration No. 37,664

the address of all of whom is Gateway, Inc., Law Dept., 610 Gateway Drive, Mail Drop Y-04, N. Sioux City, SD 57049, (605) 232-1967.

GATEWAY, INC.

BY: 

TITLE: General Counsel

DATE: 14-MAR-2006